



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,928	08/09/2001	Rodric C. Fan	M-11702 US	6041

7590 09/09/2005

MacPherson Kwok Chen & Heid LLP
1762 Technology Dr.
Suite 226
San Jose, CA 95110

EXAMINER

TESLOVICH, TAMARA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2137

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/927,928

Applicant(s)

FAN ET AL.

Examiner

Tamara Teslovich

Art Unit

2137

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 June 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5, 7, 12-14, 18, 19, 21-24 and 28 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-11, 15-17, 20, 25-27, and 29-35 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the Amendment filed on June 8, 2005.

Claims 5, 7, 12-14, 18-19, 21-24, and 28 are canceled.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 15-17, 20, 25-27, and 29 have been amended and are herein considered.

Claims 30-35 are newly presented.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 15-17, 20, 25-27, and 29-35 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Figures 5 and 6 of Droge disclose 'merely using two encryptions for two different protocol layers in a single protocol stack' and therefore neither disclose nor suggest Applicant's independent Claims 1, 6, 10 and 29. The Examiner would like to bring to the Applicant's attention the last line of paragraph 50 in Droge where it is specifically stated:

"Algorithms that may be used to encrypt data at both the data link and IP layers include without limitation, the DATA ENCRYPTION STANDARD (DES), TRIPLE DES, the ADVANCED ENCRYPTION STANDARD (AES), SKIPJACK and BLOWFISH."

The Examiner would also like to call the Applicant's attention to paragraph 50 in its entirety, wherein Droge teaches encrypting the data a first time before 'packetizing' the encrypted data ("adding a header to the encrypted payload to form a data packet") and further encrypting the packet so that it could be sent out over an existing network link.

The Examiner fails to appreciate the Applicant's argument distinguishing between his invention and that of Droge.

The Examiner would also like to note, that although the previous office action cited specific figures and paragraphs, those citations were for the purpose of aiding the Applicant in understanding the Examiner's rejections. However, the Examiner has rejected the Applicant's invention in light of Droge in its entirety and not merely these specific paragraphs and figures. The Examiner has included within this office action additional citations and would like to suggest that the Applicant read Droge in its entirety in order to fully understand the Examiner's rejections.

The Applicant has not added additional limitations into the claims from the specification, but rather has chosen to include limitations from dependent claims within the independent claims. The Examiner's previous office action has already addressed each and every claim limitation, citing the passages in Droge where each was taught. Applicant's silence on these issues has been taken as agreement with the Examiner's previous assertions.

Therefore, based on the above arguments, the Examiner maintains the rejections as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 15-17, 20, 25-27, and 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Droge (U.S. Patent Application 09/841,168) and Schneier (Applied Cryptography, 2nd ed.). Schneier has been relied upon as a reference for features inherent to the Data Encryption Standard (DES).

As per claim 1, Droge discloses a method for transmitting data over a wireless link to a gateway providing access to a wide area network, the method comprising: encrypting a payload according to a first encryption algorithm; adding a header to the encrypted payload to form a data packet; encrypting the encrypted payload and the header of the data packet according to a second encryption algorithm, the second encryption algorithm being an encryption algorithm used for secure communication over the wireless link; and transmitting the encrypted data packet over the wireless link (see Droge Abstract; paragraphs 36, 40, and 50; figures 5 and 6).

As per claim 2, Droge discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the first algorithm uses a symmetric key (see Droge paragraph 53 reference "DES").

As per claim 3, Droge discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving the data packet at the gateway; decrypting data packet at the gateway according to the second algorithm; forwarding the recovered data packet to a computer

on the wide area network; and decrypting the payload at the computer on the wide area network according to the first algorithm (see Droge paragraphs 36-40 and 51).

As per claim 4, Droge discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the first algorithm uses a symmetric session key (see Droge paragraph 53 reference "DES").

As per claim 6, Droge discloses a device for transmitting data over a wireless link to a gateway providing access to a wide area network, comprising: a wireless transceiver (see Droge paragraph 36 and 40); and an encryption engine coupled to the wireless transceiver for encrypting a payload according to a first encryption algorithm, adding a header to the payload to form a data packet, and encrypting the data packet according to a second algorithm, the second encryption algorithm being an algorithm for secured communications over a wireless link (see Droge paragraphs 35, 39-41 and figures 5,6).

As per claim 8, Droge discloses the device of claim 6, wherein the payload comprises location information regarding the location of the wireless device (see Droge paragraph 58, reference "IP header").

As per claim 9, Droge discloses the device of claim 6, wherein the first encryption algorithm employs a symmetric key (see Droge paragraph 53 reference "DES").

As per claim 10, Droge discloses a method for secure communication between a mobile device (see Droge paragraphs 61-62) and a server (see Droge paragraph 60) on a wide area network, comprising: generating a symmetric session key at the mobile device; encrypting the symmetric session key at the mobile device using a public key associated with the server; transmitting the encrypted session key to the server over a

wireless link with a gateway to the wide area network; decrypting the encrypted session key at the server using a private key corresponding to the public key; encrypting a payload using the symmetric session key at the mobile device (see Droge paragraph 50 reference “algorithms that might be used to encrypt data at [the link layer] includes, without limitation, the DATA ENCRYPTION STANDARD (DES)”); adding a header to the payload to form a data packet at the mobile device; encrypting the encrypted payload and the header of the data packet using an encryption algorithm for secured communication over the wireless link to form an encrypted data packet at the mobile device; and transmitting the encrypted data packet from the mobile device to the gateway (see Droge Abstract; paragraphs 36, 40, and 50; figures 5 and 6, steps 92-102).

As per claim 11, Droge discloses the method of claim 10, further comprising: receiving the encrypted data at the gateway; decrypting the encrypted data packet at the gateway to recover a decrypted data packet, the decrypted data packet having the encrypted payload encrypted with the symmetric session key; forwarding the decrypted data packet to the server over the wide area network (see Droge figure 6, steps 104-114); decrypting the payload at the server using the decrypted session key (see Droge paragraph 50).

As per claim 15, Droge discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the payload includes location information (see Droge paragraph 58, reference “IP header”).

As per claim 16, Droge discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the generating symmetric session key at the mobile device further comprises generating the symmetric key based on a random number (see Droge paragraph 53).

As per claim 17, Droge discloses the method of claim 10, wherein the encrypting a payload using the symmetric session key employs at least one of the encryption algorithms DESX or DES (see Droge paragraph 53).

As per claim 20, Droge discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the first algorithm comprises at least one of the encryption algorithms DES or DESX (see Droge paragraph 53).

As per claim 25, Droge discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the data packet includes location information (see Droge paragraph 58, reference "IP header").

As per claim 26, Droge discloses the method of claim 4, wherein the symmetric session key is generated based on a random number (see Droge paragraph 53).

As per claim 27, Droge discloses the device of claim 6 further comprising: a memory coupled to the encryption engine, the memory having a public key associated with a server on the wide area network stored therein (see Droge paragraph 39).

As per claim 29, Droge discloses a computer readable medium comprising program instructions for performing a method comprising: encrypting a payload according to a first encryption algorithm; adding a header to the encrypted payload to form a data packet; encrypting the encrypted payload and the header of the data packet according to a second encryption algorithm, the second encryption algorithm being an encryption algorithm used for secure communications over a wireless link; transmitting

Art Unit: 2137

the data packet to a server on a wide area network over a wireless link with a gateway providing access to the wide area network (see Droge Abstract; paragraphs 36, 40, and 50; figures 5 and 6).

As per claim 30, Droge discloses the computer readable medium of claim 29, wherein the first algorithm uses a symmetric key (see Droge paragraph 53 reference "DES").

As per claim 31, Droge discloses the computer readable medium of claim 29, the method further comprising: receiving the data packet at the gateway; decrypting the data packet at the gateway according to the second algorithm; forwarding the recovered data packet to a computer on the wide area network; and decrypting the payload at the computer on the wide area network according to the first algorithm (see Droge paragraphs 36-40 and 51).

As per claim 32, Droge discloses the computer readable medium of claim 29, wherein the first algorithm uses a symmetric session key (see Droge paragraph 53 reference "DES").

As per claim 33, Droge discloses the computer readable medium of claim 29, wherein the first algorithm comprises at least one of the encryption algorithms DESX or DES (see Droge paragraph 53).

As per claim 34, Droge discloses the computer readable medium of claim 29 wherein the data packet includes location information (see Droge paragraph 58, reference "IP header").

As per claim 35, Droge discloses the computer readable medium of claim 32 wherein the symmetric session key is generated based on a random number (see Droge paragraph 53).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara Teslovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2137

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



T. Teslowich
September 6, 2005



EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER